



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/807,461

03/22/2004

Doug Finlay

SPEEP001

2923

21912 7590 05/04/2007  
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP  
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200  
CUPERTINO, CA 95014

EXAMINER

BEHNCKE, CHRISTINE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3661

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/04/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/807,461

Applicant(s)

FINLAY, DOUG

Examiner

Christine M. Behncke

Art Unit

3661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 35 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/6/2007</u>  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment and Remarks filed 6 February 2007, in which claims 1-35 were presented for examination.

#### ***Claim Objections***

2. **Claim 1** is objected to because of the following informalities: typographical error, lines 5-6, reads "and a s segment second endpoint". It is assumed that the claim should read: a second segment second endpoint. Appropriate correction is required.

3. **Claim 35** is objected to because of the following informalities: there is a unclear discrepancy in the claim language, lines 5-8 claim a first "second data" including a first road segment, and lines 9-12 claim another "second data" including a second road segment. Appropriate correction is required.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 35 in view of Adachi have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 6 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends the applied reference Knockeart does not teach assigning a priority for transmitting data to a navigation device. The Examiner respectfully disagrees; please see the rejection below.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3661

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 19, 20, 25, 26 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yokoyama, US 6,278,941.

**(Claim 1)** Yokoyama discloses a method of broadcasting a digital traffic map comprising: assigning a priority for transmission to a first data, wherein the first data includes a first road segment having a first segment first endpoint and a first segment second endpoint (Figure 8, column 14, lines 50-63); assigning a priority for transmission to a second data, wherein the second data includes a second road segment having a second segment first endpoint and a second segment second endpoint (Figure 8, column 14, lines 50-63); assigning a priority for transmission to a third data, wherein the third data includes a speed data associated with the first road segment (column 15, lines 52-65 and column 20, lines 22-64); transmitting to a plurality of receivers the third data based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the third data (column 20, lines 22-64); transmitting to a plurality of receivers the first data based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the first data (column 16, lines 10-48); and transmitting to a plurality of receivers the second data road segment based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the second data (column 17, lines 10-34), having a second segment first endpoint and a second segment second endpoint wherein the first segment and the second segment are combined together to form a portion of the digital map (column 16, lines 26-55).

**(Claim 2)** Yokoyama further discloses wherein the first segment has a segment state (column 9, lines 29-39, column 15, lines 52-65).

(**Claim 19**) Yokoyama further discloses wherein the road segment endpoints are transmitted in a road segment data packet (column 14, lines 50-63).

(**Claim 20**) Yokoyama further discloses wherein the road segment endpoints are transmitted in a road segment data packet that is comprised of a segment identifier, a first endpoint longitude and latitude, and a second endpoint longitude and latitude (Figure 10).

(**Claim 25**) Yokoyama further discloses wherein the first segment has a segment state and the segment state is used to update an optimum trip plan (column 9, lines 29-39, column 15, lines 52-65).

(**Claim 26**) Yokoyama further discloses wherein the first segment has a segment state and the segment state is used to update an optimum route plan (column 9, lines 29-39, column 15, lines 52-65).

(**Claim 35**) Yokoyama discloses a method for receiving a digital traffic map comprising: receiving from a transmitter a first data, wherein the first data includes a speed data associated with a first road segment and wherein the first data was transmitted based at least in part on an assigned priority for the first data (column 15, lines 52-65 and column 20, lines 22-64); receiving from the transmitter a second data, wherein the second data includes the first road segment having a first segment first endpoint and a first segment second endpoint and wherein the second data was transmitted based at least in part on an assigned priority for the second data (Figure 8, column 14, lines 50-63); and receiving from the transmitter a second data, wherein the second data includes the second road segment having a second segment first endpoint

Art Unit: 3661

and a second segment second endpoint and wherein the second data was transmitted based at least in part on an assign priority for the second data (Figure 8, column 14, lines 50-63); and wherein the first segment and the second segment are combined together to form a portion of the digital map (column 16, lines 26-55).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. **Claims 6, 7 and 27** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama in view of Adachi, US 2004/0220727.

Yokoyama discloses the methods of broadcasting a digital traffic map as previously discussed, but Yokoyama does not disclose wherein the transmitted map comprises displaying accident information, wherein the segments are polygons, and displaying the updated segment states. However, Adachi teaches a traffic information map that is transmitted from a remote service apparatus to a vehicle navigation apparatus, wherein the vehicle apparatus receives a plurality of road segments, the road segments include accident information ([0018]), the segments are a part of a polygon that encloses an area of interest (Figures 6-8); and wherein the segments have a segment state the is used to update a digital map display ([0065]-[0067], [0106]-[0108]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Adachi with the method of Yokoyama because as Adachi suggests, transmitting event information including the display of surrounding areas of interest, traffic event displays, it allows for a more efficient and user friendly

system because the reasons for rerouting are displayed to the driver and transmits the important navigation map display with a deformed map segment thereby decreasing the amount of transmission time ([0018]-[0019], [0025], [0127]).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. **Claims 11 and 12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama in view of Fleck et al., US 6,012,012.

Yokoyama discloses transmitting road segment information to a user wherein in the road segment and digital map source is a database. Yokoyama does not disclose wherein the databases are explicitly public or private. However, Fleck et al. teaches it was well known in the navigational art to one of ordinary skill for the remote control center that allocates the traffic information to the users to utilize both public and private databases to provide further and more accurate information for all relevant roadways of interest (column 7, lines 8-39).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

8. **Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 13-15, 19-27, 30 and 35** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knockeart et al., US 6,970,783.

Knockeart et al. discloses a method of broadcasting and receiving a digital traffic map comprising: assigning a priority for transmission to a first data, wherein the first data includes a first road segment having a first segment first endpoint and a first segment second endpoint (column 5, lines 53-61, column 10, lines 56-65, column 11,

Art Unit: 3661

lines 20-28); assigning a priority for transmission to a second data, wherein the second data includes a second road segment having a second segment first endpoint and a second segment second endpoint (column 5, lines 53-61, column 10, lines 56-65, column 11, lines 20-28); assigning a priority for transmission to a third data, wherein the third data includes a speed data associated with the first road segment (column 29, line 20-column 30, line 29) transmitting to a plurality of receivers the third data based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the third data (column 39, lines 40-45 and column 41, lines 47-63); transmitting to a plurality of receivers the first data based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the first data (column 39, lines 40-45, column 11, lines 20-28); and transmitting to a plurality of receivers the second data road segment based at least in part on the priority for transmission of the second data (column 39, lines 40-45, column 11, lines 20-28); having a second segment first endpoint and a second segment second endpoint wherein the first segment and the second segment are combined together to form a portion of the digital map (Figure 8, column 5, lines 53-61). Knockeart further discloses wherein the segment state varies over time (column 34, lines 10-45); the segment state includes speed information (column 30, lines 27-30); the segment state includes road condition information (column 41, lines 37-63); and a transmitter transmits an updated segment state based on a real-time measurement (column 34, lines 10-45); the first segment has a segment state and the source of information for the segment state is a sensor (column 34, lines 10-45 and column 37, lines 35-47); the segment state is derived by processing information from a sensor (column 34, lines 10-45 and column 37, lines 35-47); the segment state is



Art Unit: 3661

derived by processing information from a public or a private database (column 34, lines 10-45 and column 37, lines 35-47, column 36, lines 18-28); wherein the road segment endpoints are transmitted in a road segment data packet (column 5, lines 53-61) that is comprised of a segment identifier, a first endpoint longitude and latitude and a second endpoint longitude and latitude, a name and a road type (Figures 6, 7, column 15, line 48-column 16, line 17, column 5, lines 34-43, and column 6, lines 21-29); wherein the first segment has a segment speed state and the segment speed state is transmitted in a speed update information packet (column 30, lines 18-42) that is comprised of a segment identifier and a speed (column 30, lines 1-29); wherein the first segment has a segment state and a transmitter (communication system 250) that transmits an updated segment state that is used to update a database (column 34, lines 10-45); wherein the segment state is used to update an optimum trip plan (column 11, lines 20-33 and column 12, lines 15-37) and an optimum route plan (column 30, lines 30-42); and wherein the segment state is used to update a digital map display of an area near to the receiver location (column 37, lines 56-60).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al. in view of Gueziec, US 2006/1058330.

Knockeart et al. discloses the method of broadcasting previously described and further discloses wherein the traffic information transmitted from the server to the in-vehicle unit for a first segment, having a segment state. Knockeart et al. does not disclose where the state includes weather information. However, Gueziec teaches a

Art Unit: 3661

method of distributing traffic information to a plurality of users wherein the traffic information and map information includes the state of road and weather information ([0078]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Gueziec with the method of Knockeart et al. because as Gueziec suggests to provide the user with a more accurate overview of the current road conditions, including weather which effects the driving conditions (snow and heavy winds) and thereby impact the traveler ([0078]).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. **Claim 16** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al. in view of DeKock et al., and in further view of Koshizawa, US 5,661,472.

Knockeart et al. in view of DeKock et al. disclose a method of broadcasting a digital traffic map, wherein the transmitted segment states are derived from a speed sensor used to determine the speed of traffic over a roadway portion (Figures 1 and 2 of DeKock et al.). Wherein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the traffic monitors of DeKock et al. because the addition of traffic information from monitors placed along a road side increases the amount of traffic information made available for updating a traffic database while not needing to rely on whether there are probe vehicles located at the road or not ([0056]). Neither Knockeart et al. or DeKock et al. disclose adjusting the speed data for a mounting angle of the sensor. However, Koshizawa teaches an image processing device wherein the camera measurement is adjusted for the mounting angular error of the camera. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3661

one of ordinary skill to adjust the determined speed data of Knockeart et al. in view of DeKock et al. with an angular mounting error to acquire an accurate data measurement as taught by Koshizawa (column 2, line 51-column 3, line 55).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

11. **Claims 17 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al., as applied to claim 1, in view of Mintz et al., US 2002/0082767.

Knockeart et al. discloses the method of broadcasting previously described and further discloses wherein the traffic information transmitted from the server to the in-vehicle unit for a first segment, having a segment state, wherein the segment state is derived from speed determined by a sensor. Knockeart et al. does not disclose where the segment state is derived by converting a raw speed to an effective speed. However, Mintz et al. teaches a method of distributing traffic information wherein the traffic information is derived from acquired raw speeds from probe vehicles and the raw speed is converted to an effective speed ([0137] and [0270]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use the teachings of Mintz et al. with the method of Knockeart et al. because as Mintz et al. suggests the processing of raw data at the central location instead of at the individual probes frees more processing space and memory in the probe vehicle and can be used to provide more accurate maps ([0270]).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

12. **Claims 28 and 29** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al., as applied to claim 1, in view of Kodani et al., US 7,054,746.

Knockeart et al. discloses the method of broadcasting previously described and further discloses wherein the traffic information transmitted from the server to the in-vehicle unit for a first segment, having a segment state. Knockeart et al. does not disclose updating a map whose detail level depends on size of an area displayed or the user selection. However, Kodani et al. teaches updating a plurality of user displays with map information wherein the user selects the display level and size of the area displayed in a display (column 7, line 63-column 8, line 13) and the map display is updated depending on the size of the area displayed (Figures 13 and 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the navigation art to combine the teachings of Kodani et al. with the method of Knockeart et al. because as Kodani et al. suggests, limiting the updating of the map display by the size of the area displayed allows the user to control the frequency of the updating and thereby the cost of communication to the server for the updates (column 1, lines 47-55).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

13. **Claims 31-33** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al., as applied to claim 1, in view of DeKock et al., US 2006/0074546 and in further view of Mintz, US 2002/0082767.

Knockeart et al. discloses the method of broadcasting previously described and further discloses wherein the traffic information transmitted from the server to the in-vehicle unit for a first segment, having a segment state, is indicated using one of a variety of techniques including icons and color. Knockeart et al. does not disclose that the color indicating the traffic information corresponds to the segment speed state.

Art Unit: 3661

However, DeKock et al. teaches a method of distributing traffic information to a plurality of users wherein the traffic information is indicated by the use of colors and patterns of the displayed road segment to represent the average vehicle speeds of the displayed road segment ([0053], Figures 6 and 8). Mintz also teaches the display of velocity of a corresponding road section associated with a selected color or a gray scale. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of Knockeart et al. with the teachings of DeKock et al. and Mintz because as DeKock et al. and Mintz suggests the use of different colors and patterns to display the traffic information allows the user to quickly and intuitively understand the traffic conditions.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

14. **Claim 34** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knockeart et al., as applied to claim 1, in view of DeKock et al. and Mintz et al., and in further view of Japanese Abstracted Publication No. JP 09184729A.

As applied in claims 31 and 33 DeKock et al. and Mintz et al. teaches the use of colors and patterns to display traffic information on a displayed navigation map corresponding to the speed on a map display. Neither Knockeart et al., Mintz et al., or DeKock et al. suggest allowing the user to select the colors or the pattern corresponding to the speeds on the map display. However, JP 09184729A teaches allowing the user to select the map and background colors of a displayed map when the vehicle is stationary. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the user to select the background and then corresponding display colors of the display maps so the user may customize the display to their particular liking.

Art Unit: 3661

### **Conclusion**

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine M. Behncke whose telephone number is (571) 272-8103. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMB

  
THOMAS BLACK  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER